

IPW
1751

Practitioner's Docket No. 50986

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Shelnut et al.

Serial No.: 09/998,117

Group No.: 1751

Filed: November 30, 2001

Examiner: Kallambella M. Vijayakumar

For: CONDUCTIVE POLYMER COLLOIDAL COMPOSITION WITH
SELECTIVITY FOR NON-CONDUCTIVE SURFACES

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

STATUS

2. Applicant is
[] a small entity. A statement:
[] is attached.
[] was already filed.
[] other than a small entity.

EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) — If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period."

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

- [X] deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-14501.

FACSIMILE

- ☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.


Signature

Date: 6/15/2004

Deanna M. Rivernider
(type or print name of person certifying)

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

(a) ☐ Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136
(fees: 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below:

| | <u>Extension (months)</u> | <u>Fee for other than small entity</u> | <u>Fee for small entity</u> |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | one month | \$110.00 | \$55.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | two months | \$420.00 | \$210.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | three months | \$950.00 | \$475.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | four months | \$1,480.00 | \$1,005.00 |

Fee: \$ _____

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

☐ An extension for _____ months has already been secured. The fee paid therefor of \$ _____ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.

Extension fee due with this request \$ _____

OR

(b) ☒ Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

FEE FOR CLAIMS

4. The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

| (Col. 1) (Col. 2) (Col. 3) SMALL ENTITY | | | | | OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY | | | |
|--|---------|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|------------------------------|----|---------------------|---------------|
| Claims Remaining After Amendment | | Highest No. Previously Paid For | Present Extra | Rate | Addit. Fee | OR | Rate | Addit. Fee |
| Total | * Minus | ** | = | x \$9 = | \$ | | x \$18 = | \$ |
| Indep. | * Minus | *** | = 0 | x \$39 = | \$ | | x \$78 = | \$ 0 |
| [] First Presentation of Multiple Dependent Claim | | | | + \$130 = | \$ | | + \$260 = | \$ 0 |
| | | | | | Total Addit. Fee | OR | Total Addit. Fee | \$ |

* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3,

** If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20".

*** If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3".

The "Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or Indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.

WARNING: "After final rejection or action (§ 1.113) amendments may be made canceling claims or complying with any requirement of form which has been made." 37 C.F.R. 1.116(a) (emphasis added).

(complete (c) or (d), as applicable)

- (c) ☒ No additional fee for claims is required.

OR

- (d) ☐ Total additional fee for claims required \$ _____.

FEE PAYMENT

5. ☐ Attached is a check in the sum of \$ _____.
- ☐ Charge Account No. _____ the sum of \$ _____.
- A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.


FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).

6. [X] If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 04-1105.

AND/OR

[X] If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 04-1105.



SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Reg. No. 35,647

John J. Piskorski

(type or print name of practitioner)

Tel. No. (508) 229-7662

EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP

P.O. Box 55874

P.O. Address

Boston, Massachusetts 02205



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT

50986

In re application of:
Shelnut et al.

:

Serial No.: 09/998,117

:

Filed: November 30, 2001

: Group Art Unit: 1751

For: CONDUCTIVE POLYMER COLLOIDAL
COMPOSITION WITH SELECTIVITY FOR
NON-CONDUCTIVE SURFACES

: Examiner: Kallambella M. Vijayakumar

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed March 15, 2004, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the above-identified patent application.